Solution to Problem 1: Is it Over-Compressed or is it Modern Art?

The following MATLAB code solves parts a to e:

```matlab
% Perform initialization as indicated in the problem set.
load imdemos vertigo;
vertigo=double(vertigo);
colormap('gray');

% Since many figures will be produced by this script, we use meaningful labels.
set(gcf,'NumberTitle','off','Name','Vertigo'); imshow(vertigo,[0 255]);

% Implement the compression scheme detailed in the problem set.
encoded=blkproc(vertigo,[8 8],'dct2');
encoded(abs(encoded)<10)=0;
decoded=round(blkproc(encoded,[8 8],'idct2'));

% Provide the error value to check against the expected value from the set.
sprintf('With cutoff=10, the mean squared error is %.4f', ...
mean2((vertigo - decoded).^2))
```

The mean squared error you should have obtained is 10.2970. The next piece of code produces the graph of file size versus mean squared error.

```matlab
% Initialize the vectors that will store the data for the graph.
x=[];
y=[];

% We need only encode the image once. After that, since we will be steadily
% increasing the threshold, we need to reconvert again more because we will be
% simply zeroing-out more elements with each iteration through the for loop
% (there is no reason to recover all the original elements and start from scratch
% each time through the loop; we can progressively drop more and more data).
encoded=blkproc(vertigo,[8 8],'dct2');

% Now we begin to collect data for the graph.
for cutoff=0:4:100,
    encoded(abs(encoded)<cutoff)=0;
    decoded=round(blkproc(encoded,[8 8],'idct2'));
```

MATLAB 6.5 was used to compose these solutions. MATLAB 7 has changed slightly the image vertigo; therefore, if you used MATLAB 7, your numbers will be different. The trend though should be the same.
% We will simply append to the vectors each time through this loop.
x=[x,nnz(encoded)];
y=[y,mean2((vertigo - decoded).^2)];

% The next three lines can be commented out if they are not desired. They
% will produce a new window, label it, and print a representation of the
% newly decoded image for each cutoff threshold. This is for comparison
% with the original image to answer the question in the set that asks at
% which point the difference between the original image and the compressed
% image becomes perceptible to the human eye.
figure;
set(gcf,'NumberTitle','off','Name',sprintf('cutoff=%d',cutoff));
imshow(decoded,[0 255]);
end

% Now, plot the graph with a smooth curve and boxes around all the actual data points.
figure;
set(gcf,'NumberTitle','off','Name','Graph for Problem 1');
plot(x,y,'s-');
title('Comparison of File Size and Image Error');
xlabel('Non-zero matrix values (number of bytes to store)');
ylabel('Mean squared error');

You should have gotten something remotely resembling the graph in Figure 3–1. As you can see, there
is a point where the MSE increases exponentially giving a quantitative value to the degradation of the
reconstructed picture. Medical applications such as in X-rays tend to discourage the use of JPEG or similar
lossy compression algorithms for saving images due to chances of distortion leading to an incorrect diagnosis.

![Figure 3–1: Comparison of File Size and Image Error](filesizeimageerrorcomp.png)
Solution to **Problem 2: Compression is Fun and Easy**

Solution to Problem 2, part a.

Table 3-1 represents the LZW analysis of the phrase “de do do do de da da da, how do deers do” The resulting data stream is:

```
2 64 65 20 64 6F 82 84 83 82 81 64 61 82 8B 8A 2C 20 68 6F 77 85 88 65 72 73 85 3
```

This is 28 bytes long, whereas the original message was 40 bytes long (including the start and stop control characters), which amounts to 30% compression. If we count bits, the original message could have been sent in 7 bits per character (total 280 bits) whereas the LZW code requires 8 bits per character (total 224 bits) so the compression is 20%. Of course, this is a short example but contrived to make the dictionary grow quickly. For a sizeable selection of average English text, LZW typically yields 50% compression.

Solution to Problem 2, part b.

This strategy has several advantages: we will stay within 7-bit data, hence more compression and faster encoder/decoder; moreover, the dictionary can be searched quickly. There is a major drawback, though. The dictionary can only have 16 entries beyond the ASCII characters. Except for very short and repetitive sequences of characters, the dictionary will overflow. Another drawback is that the text cannot include any of the ASCII characters being used for the dictionary; among the characters excluded are three that are often used (DC1, DC3, and ESC). On the other hand, the characters HEX 80 - FF would now be available since the dictionary no longer is there, and this includes many common accented letters of Western European languages. A practical implementation of the coder and decoder would not be either easier or harder by very much, but new programs would have to be written because this proposed arrangement is not standard, and new software always brings with it a cost and risk of bugs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>New dictionary entry</th>
<th>Transmission</th>
<th>New dictionary entry</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>02 (start)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 e</td>
<td>80 de</td>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>80 de</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>81 e(space)</td>
<td>81 e(space)</td>
<td>82 (space)d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6F o</td>
<td>83 do</td>
<td>83 do</td>
<td>84 o(space)</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>84 o(space)</td>
<td>84 o</td>
<td>85 (space)do</td>
<td>(space)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>87 do(space)</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 e</td>
<td>88 (space)de</td>
<td>88 (space)de</td>
<td>87 do(space)</td>
<td>(space)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89 (space)de</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>89 e(space)d</td>
<td>89 e</td>
<td>89 (space)de</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 a</td>
<td>8A da</td>
<td>8A da</td>
<td>8A da</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8C (space)da</td>
<td>(space)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 a</td>
<td>8C (space)da</td>
<td>8C (space)da</td>
<td>8C (space)da</td>
<td>(space)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8D a(space)da</td>
<td>a(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8E da, 8A da</td>
<td>da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8F , (space)</td>
<td>8F , (space)</td>
<td>8E da,</td>
<td>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 h</td>
<td>90 (space)h</td>
<td>90 (space)h</td>
<td>8F , (space)</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6F o</td>
<td>91 ho</td>
<td>91 ho</td>
<td>91 ho</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 w</td>
<td>92 ow</td>
<td>92 ow</td>
<td>92 ow</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93 w(space)</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6F o</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>94 w(space)</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>94 (space)do(space)</td>
<td>94 (space)do</td>
<td>94 (space)do</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95 (space)do</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 e</td>
<td>95 (space)dee</td>
<td>95 (space)ee</td>
<td>95 (space)do</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 r</td>
<td>96 er</td>
<td>96 er</td>
<td>96 er</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 s</td>
<td>97 rs</td>
<td>97 rs</td>
<td>97 rs</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98 s(space)</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6F o</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98 s(space)</td>
<td>(space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>03 (stop)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3-1: Solution to Problem 2, part a
Solution to Problem 2, part c.
The following two m-files implement LZW encoder and decoder.

Beginning of file LZWencoder.m

function [transmissionHEX]=LZWencoder(message);
%usage [Transmission]=LZWencoder(message)
%Function to encode a message with LZW, the message is expected as a matrix
%of strings, each row representing a character, or command (256, 257)
if size(message,1)<2
  %wrong input type
  disp('Error the input is expected to be a string array');
end
%loop through input character by character.
%setup initial dictionary
idictionary=cellstr(char(0:127)');
%matlab does not properly convert spaces with the char, so we shall correct
%character 32 (which will appear in position 33 because matlab starts to
%count with 1)
idictionary{33}=' ';
new_entry=[]; %to store the cumulative string
last_code=[]; %to store the last code found
transmission={'02'}; %transmit start of message.
for i=1:size(message,1)
  new_character=deblank(message(i,:));
  %to account for matlab padding we need to deblank the message
  %this will eventually produce a problem with spaces,
  if isempty(new_character)
    new_character=' ';
  end;
  %Accumulate string
  new_entry=[new_entry,new_character];
  %check if the new entry exists in the dictionary
  match_Q=MatchDictionaryEntry(new_entry,idictionary);
  if isempty(match_Q)
    %if it does not exist, we add it
    idictionary(end+1)=new_entry;
    %we transmit the code for the last matched string
    last_match=MatchDictionaryEntry(new_entry(1:(end-1)),idictionary);
    %transmit the last_match
    transmission{end+1}=num2str(last_match-1);
    %set the new_entry to the last character read
    new_entry=new_character;
  else
    %set transmission to nil (this is mostly for readability)
    transmission{end+1}='-';
  end
end
%flush the content of the new_entry variable
last_match=MatchDictionaryEntry(new_entry,idictionary);
transmission{end+1}=num2str(last_match-1);
%transmit end of message
transmission{end+1}='03';idicexpanded{end+1,1}=NaN;idicexpanded{end,2}='-';

End of file LZWencoder.m

Beginning of file LZWdecoder.m

function [output]=LZWdecoder(transmission);
%usage [output]=LZWdecoder(transmission)
%Function to decode a message compressed with LZWencoder
if ~iscellstr(transmission)
    %wrong input type
    disp('Error the input is expected to be cell string');
end
i=1;
output=[];last_output=[];
tr=char(transmission);
nothing=strmatch('-',tr);
transmission(nothing)={'0'};
%figure out whether input is hexadecimal, if it is, then convert to decimal numbers
if any(ismember('ABCDEF',char(transmission)))
    %convert to decimal
    trans=hex2dec(char(transmission));
else
    %convert to numbers
    trans=str2num(char(transmission));
end
trans(nothing)=NaN;
%loop through transmission until end of message character
while trans(i)~=3;
    next_code=trans(i);
    if ~isnan(next_code(1)) %characters '-' were added for readability during encoding
        %next_code=str2num(next_code);
        if next_code==2
            %create dictionary
            odictionary=cellstr(char(0:127)');
            odictionary{33}=' ';
            output{1}='-';
        else
            if next_code>(length(odictionary)-1)
                %sometimes the code does not exist. then we need to acknowledge
                %that this can only happen when the new codeword is in fact the
                %previous one with the first character of the old codeword
                %appended
                this_output=[last_output,last_output(1)];
                %update the dictionary
                odictionary{end+1}=this_output;
            else
                this_output=odictionary{next_code+1};
                %update the dictionary
                if ~isempty(last_output)
                    odictionary{end+1}=[last_output,this_output(1)];
                end
            end
        end
    end
    %now just output the expected code(beware of the fact that matlab
output{end+1}=this_output;
last_output=output{end};
end
else
    %there was no input output '-'
    output{end+1}='-
    i=i+1;
end
output{end+1}='-

End of file LZWdecoder.m